Judicial Impact Fiscal Note

Bill Number:	5052 2S SB AMH HCW H2286.3	Title: Ca		Agency:	y: 055-Admin Office of the Courts				
Part I: Esti	imates				•				
No Fisca	al Impact								
Estimated Cas	h Receipts to:								
Account			FY 2016	FY 2017	2015-1	7	2017-19	2019-21	
Counties Cities									
Cities		Total \$							
Estimated Evn	enditures from:	10τα1ψ						<u> </u>	
Estimated Exp		Non zono hut in	determinate cost	Dlagge see d	icauccian			\neg	
		Non-zero dut in	determinate cost	. Piease see u	iscussion.				
	identified as a propertion showing the				,	e 960). Th	erefore, this f	iscal analysis	
	nd expenditure estim provisions of RCW 4		represent the most l	ikely fiscal impa	ct. Responsibility	for expendi	tures may be		
Check application	able boxes and foll mpact is greater the	ow correspondin		ırrent hienniun	n or in subseque	nt hiennia <i>c</i>	omnlete entir	re fiscal note	
form Part	ts I-V.								
=	mpact is less than	•	al year in the curre	ent biennium o	r in subsequent b	piennia, com	plete this pag	ge only (Part I)	
Capital l	budget impact, con	nplete Part IV.							
Contact					Phone:			Date: 03/25/2015	
Agency Prepa	aration: Renee Lev	wis			Phone: 360-70	4-4142	Date: 03/2	27/2015	
	Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan				Phone: 360-35	7-2406	Date: 03/2	27/2015	
OFM Review	<i>'</i> :				Phone:		Date:		
							I	ļ.	

Request # 2SSB A 505-1

Part II: Narrative Explanation

II. A - Brief Description Of What The Measure Does That Has Fiscal Impact on the Courts

The second substitute bill does not change the judicial impact note from the substitute bill except for section numbers which will be replaced in the expenditures section.

The substitute bill would remove Section 16 that was a part of the original bill. RCW 69.50.401 would no longer be amended. The substitute bill would remove Section 18 that was part of the bill. RCW 69.50.4014 would no longer be amended. Section 28 in the original bill becomes Section 23 in the substitute. Section 35 in the original bill becomes Section 30 in the substitute.

Original bill:

Section 16 would amend RCW 69.50.401 to say (4) Any person who violates this section with respect to: (a) The delivery, during any twenty-four hour period, of not more than one ounce of useable marijuana, sixteen ounces of marijuana-infused product in solid form, seventy-two ounces of marijuana-infused product in liquid form, or not more than seven grams of marijuana concentrates that was purchased from a marijuana retailer and are accompanied by packaging showing it was purchased from a marijuana retailer to a person age twenty-one or over, for commercial purposes, is guilty of a gross misdemeanor punishable according to chapter 9A .20 RCW; and (b) The delivery or possession with intent to deliver of seven or fewer grams of marijuana concentrates, for noncommercial purposes, that was not purchased from a marijuana retailer or was not accompanied by packaging showing it was purchased from a marijuana retailer, is guilty of a gross misdemeanor punishable according to chapter 9A .20 RCW.

Section 18 would amend RCW 69.50.4014 to say except as provided in RCW 69.50.401(2)(c) and (4): (1) Any person in possession of marijuana, useable marijuana, marijuana-infused products, or marijuana concentrates in excess of the limits established by RCW 69.50.4013(3), but not in excess of four times the limits provided by any one element of RCW 69.50.4013(3), is guilty of a misdemeanor; (2) Any person in possession of not more than fifteen marijuana plants is guilty of a misdemeanor; (3) Any person under the age of twenty-one in possession of marijuana, useable marijuana, marijuana-infused products, or marijuana concentrates not in excess of the possession limits for adults ages twenty-one and over provided by any one element of RCW 69.50.4013(3) is guilty of a misdemeanor. This section does not apply to qualifying patients under the age of twenty-one; and (4) Any person who possesses marijuana concentrates that was not purchased from a marijuana retailer or was not accompanied by packaging showing it was purchased from a marijuana retailer: (a) Commits a class 2 civil infraction under chapter 7.80 RCW if the person is in possession of seven grams or less of marijuana concentrates; (b) Is guilty of a misdemeanor if the person is in possession of more than seven grams but not more than twenty-eight grams of marijuana concentrates and does not have a license from the state liquor and cannabis board permitting the person to be in possession of more than twenty-eight grams of marijuana concentrates.

A new section would be added to RCW 69.51A to read as follows: (1) It is unlawful for a person to knowingly or intentionally: (a) Access the medical marijuana authorization database for any reason not authorized under section 26 of this act; (b) Disclose any information received from the medical marijuana authorization database in violation of section 26 of this act including, but not limited to, qualifying patient or designated provider names, addresses, or amount of marijuana for which they are authorized; (c) Produce an authorization card or to tamper with an authorization card for the purpose of having it accepted by a marijuana retailer holding a medical marijuana endorsement in order to purchase marijuana as a qualifying patient or designated provider or to grow marijuana plants in accordance with this chapter; (d) If a person is a designated provider to a qualifying patient, sell, donate, or supply marijuana produced or obtained for the qualifying patient to another person, or use the marijuana produced or obtained for the qualifying patient for the designated provider's own personal use or benefit; or (e) If the person is a qualifying patient, sell, donate, or otherwise supply marijuana produced or obtained by the qualifying patient to another person. (2) A person who violates this section is guilty of a class C felony and upon conviction may be imprisoned for not more than two years, fined not more than two thousand dollars, or both.

Section 35 would amend RCW 69.51A.060 to delete (7) It is a class C felony to fraudulently produce any record purporting to be, or tamper with the content of any record for the purpose of having it accepted as, valid documentation under RCW 69.51A.010(32)(a), or to backdate such documentation to a time earlier than its actual date of execution.

II. B - Cash Receipts Impact

No impact.

Request # 2SSB A 505-1

II. C - Expenditures

A new section would be added to RCW 69.51A to say that (2) A person who violates this section is guilty of a class C felony and upon conviction may be imprisoned for not more than two years, fined not more than two thousand dollars, or both. There is is insufficient data to determine how many new cases this would mean to the courts statewide.

Section 31 (this was Section 30 in the substitute version) - There have been no cases filed in the judicial information system citing violations of RCW 69.51A.060(7) since its effective date in 2011. Therefore, it is estimated that the judicial impact for the legislation would be less than \$50,000.

Part III: Expenditure Detail

Part IV: Capital Budget Impact



Ten-Year Analysis

Bill Number	Title	Agency
5052 2S SB AMH HCW H2286.3	Cannabis patient protection	055 Admin Office of the Courts

This ten-year analysis is limited to agency estimated cash receipts associated with the proposed tax or fee increases. The Office of Financial Management ten-year projection can be found at http://www.ofm.wa.gov/tax/default.asp.

Estimates

X No Cash Receipts			Indeterminate Cash Receipts								
Name of Tax or Fee	Acct Code										

Agency Preparation: Renee Lewis	Phone: 360-704-4142	Date: 3/27/2015 9:13:54 am
Agency Approval: Ramsey Radwan	Phone: 360-357-2406	Date: 3/27/2015 9:13:54 am
OFM Review:	Phone:	Date: